

PREVALENCE AND PATTERNS OF ANKLE JOINT INJURIES AMONGST PATIENTS WITH MUSCULOSKELETAL TRAUMA AT MULAGO NATIONAL REFERRAL HOSPITAL

BY

Dr. MALAGALA JOSEPH MICHAEL, MD (OSMU, Ukraine)

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF MASTERS OF MEDICINE IN ORTHOPAEDIC SURGERY OF MAKERERE UNIVERSITY, KAMPALA

DECLARATION

I MALAGALA JOSEPH MICHAEL declare that this	study is my original work. Unless
otherwise stated the views and opinions expressed her	rein are mine. This study in full or
otherwise has not been submitted for publication anyw	where neither has it been submitted for the
award of any degree in this or any other university or	institution of higher learning.
Dr. Malagala Joseph Michael	Date
APPROVED FOR SUBMISSION	
Supervisors	
MR. Waiswa Gonzaga	Date
MMED (MUK), FCS (COSECSA)	
MR. Sekimpi Patrick	Date
MMED (MUK), FCS (COSECSA)	
Dr. Okello Omara Richard	Date
MMED (MUK)	

DEDICATION

To my dear parents MR Naddumba Edward and Mrs Jane Frances Naddumba who made possible a way for me to reach my aspiration.

My beloved wife Erinah and daughters Noella and Daniella who walked with me every step of the way in pursuit of my degree.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Most importantly I thank God for it is by His mercy that I have reached this far.

I greatly appreciate my supervisors MR Waiswa Gonzaga, MR Sekimpi Patrick and Dr Omara Okello Richard for their guidance, dedication and tireless efforts towards the realization of this study.

It is with great pleasure that I acknowledge the Head of Orthopaedics Department, MR Beyeza Tito for his advice, encouragement and support throughout the post graduate period.

A very special thank-you is in order to all the orthopaedic surgeons, my colleagues and staff of the orthopaedics department for your contribution in one way or another towards achieving my goal.

Acknowledged also are the fine efforts of Mr Musumba and the entire team of orthopaedic officers in the A&E department who unconditionally helped in identifying cases for the study with in the stipulated timeframe.

I am deeply grateful to my statistician, Paul Mubiri for his extraordinary expertise, professionalism and for always being just a phone call away.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECL	ARA	TION	i
ACKN	IOWI	LEDGEMENT	iii
TABL	E OF	CONTENTS	iv
LIST (OF TA	ABLES	vii
LIST (OF FI	GURES	viii
ACRO	NYN	/IS	ix
OPER	ATIC	ONAL DEFINITION	X
ABST	RAC	Т	xi
1 IN	NTRO	DDUCTION	1
1.1	BA	ACKGROUND	1
1.2	PR	OBLEM STATEMENT	2
1.3	SIC	GNIFICANCE/ JUSTIFICATION	3
1.4	RE	ESEARCH QUESTION	4
1.5	OE	BJECTIVES	4
1.	5.1	General objectives	4
1.	5.2	Specific objectives	4
1.6	TH	IE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	5
1.7	SC	COPE	5
2 L	ITER.	ATURE REVIEW	6
2.1	Ep	oidemiology of ankle joint injuries	6
2.	1.1	In the developed world	6
2.	1.2	In the developing world	7
2.	1.3	In Uganda	8
2.2	Ae	etiology of ankle joint injuries	8
2.3	Dis	stribution of ankle fractures by type	10
2.4	Cli	inical presentation	11
2.	4.1	Soft tissue injuries and open fractures	11
2.	4.2	Ankle stability	12
2.5	Ma	anagement principles	12
3 M	ETH	ODOI OGY	15

	3.1	Stu	dy design	15
	3.2	Stu	dy setting	15
	3.3	Stu	dy population	17
	3.4	Pati	ient selection criteria	17
	3.4.	.1	Inclusion criteria	17
	3.4.	.2	Exclusion criteria	17
	3.5	San	nple size	17
	3.6	San	npling procedure	18
	3.7	Stu	dy variables	19
	3.7.	.1	Independent variables	19
	3.7.	.2	Dependent variables	19
	3.8	Dat	a management	20
	3.8.	.1	Data collection	20
	3.8.	.2	Data entry	20
	3.8.	.3	Statistical analysis	21
	3.9	Qua	ality control	22
	3.10	Eth	ical consideration	22
	3.11	Lin	nitation of the study	23
	3.12	Dis	semination of the findings	23
4	RE	SUL	ТЅ	24
	4.1	Pre	valence of ankle joint injuries	24
	4.2	Anl	kle injuries characteristics	25
	4.2.	.1	Demographic characteristics of ankle joint injuries	25
	4.2.	.2	Injury type	26
	4.2.	.3	Place of injury	29
	4.2.	.4	Activity engaged in at the time of injury	30
	4.2.	.5	Mechanism of injury	31
	4.2.	.6	Associated injuries	36
5	DIS	SCUS	SSION	37
	5.1	Pre	valence	37
	5.2	Der	nographics	37
	5.2.	.1	Age distribution	37

	5.2.2	Gender distribution	39
	5.2.3	Occupation distribution	40
	5.2.4	Community	41
5	5.3 Anl	kle Injury characteristics	41
	5.3.1	Mode of injury	41
	5.3.2	Ankle Injury description	43
6	CONCL	USIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	47
ϵ	5.1 Co	nclusions	47
6	5.2 Rec	commendations	47
RE	FERENC	ES	48
Ap	pendix II;	CONSENT FORM	53
Ap	pendix III	; TRANSLATED CONSENT FORM IN LUGANDA	57
Ap	pendix IV	; INFORMATION SHEET AND ASSENT FORM (English)	61
ΑP	PENDIX	V: TRANSLATED INFORMATION SHEET AND ASSENT FORM- Luganda	67
Ap	pendix V	; STUDY TIMETABLE	72
Ap	pendix V	II; BUDGET	72
Ap	pendix V	III; AO CLASSIFICATION OF ANKLE FRACTURES	74
Ap	pendix IX	; AO CLASSIFICATION OF PLAFOND FRACTURES	75
Ap	pendix X:	TSCHERNE CLASSIFICATION OF CLOSED FRACTURES WITH SOFT	
TIS	SSUE DA	MAGE	76
Ap	pendix X	: TSCHERNE CLASSIFICATION OF OPEN FRACTURE	77
۸n	nandiy V	I · OLIESTIONNAIDE	78

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Prevalence of ankle joint injuries	24
Table 2: Demographics characteristics	25
Table 3: Types of ankle joint injuries	26
Table 4: Distribution of ankle factures by age group, gender and AO classification	27
Table 5: Distribution of ankle injuries by Type of soft tissue injury and fracture type (AO classification	
Table 6: place of injury	29
Table 7: Mechanism of injury by gender and soft tissue injury type	31
Table 8: Mechanism of injury by fracture type (AO classification)	32
Table 9: Risk factors associated with the severity of the ankle injury	35
Table 10: Associated Injuries	36

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Activity engaged in at the time of injury	30
Figure 2: Slip/fall on ground vs place of injury	33
Figure 3: Motor vehicle accident vs road user category	34

ACRONYMS

A.O Association of Osteosynthesis
ASIF Association of the Study of Internal Fixation
A&EAccident & Emergency
MSKMusculoskeletal
MUAManipulation Under Anaesthesia
MVAMotor Vehicle Accident
NRHNational referral Hospital
ORIFOpen Reduction and Internal Fixation
P.O.PPlaster Of Paris
2C PWard 2C- Pediatrics
3A OT Ward 3A-Orthopaedic Trauma
3BEM Ward 3B- Emergency Medical ward
3B ES Ward 3B- Emergency Surgical ward

OPERATIONAL DEFINITION

Ankle joint; in this study included the tibial plafond (pilon), the medial malleolus, the lateral malleolus and their associated soft tissue structures.

Patterns; referred to the clinical and radiological features of ankle joint injuries seen during the study period.

Musculoskeletal trauma; in this study refered to traumatic bone, ligament and musculotendinous injuries involving the extremities, the pelvis and the spine. It excluded fractures of the ribs, the skull and cutaneous soft tissue injuries.

Ankle injury characteristics; in this study referred to the demographic characteristics of the injured patients, ankle injury type, mechanism of injury, place of injury, activity engaged in at the time of injury and associated injuries.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: At Mulago National Referral Hospital, ankle joint injuries are common however, the magnitude of the problem they present and their specific clinical and radiological features which would aid in planning their management for better clinical and functional outcomes have not been documented. The aim of this study was to investigate the prevalence, the clinical and radiological features associated with ankle joint injuries seen at Mulago NRH.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional descriptive study. All eligible patients with ankle joint injuries who presented to the Mulago Accident &Emergency department between 12th August and 14th December 2013 were consecutively recruited. Data was collected using a questionnaire and plain radiographs of the injured ankles were taken and interpreted by a radiologist. The data was entered into EpiData version 3.1 and exported to STATA version 11.0 for analysis.

Descriptive (mean, standard deviation, frequency, percentage and 95% confidence interval) and inferential (logistic regression) statistics were used to analyse data. Alpha level was set at P< 0.05. Data were summarised in tables, pie charts and graphs.

Results: Of 1626 musculoskeletal trauma patients, 162 had ankle joint injuries giving a prevalence of 9.96%. The highest prevalence was registered in the age group of 31-40 (3.01 CI: 2.18 – 3.84) years and in males 5.90 (CI: 4.76 – 7.05). Urban dwellers and small business owners were the most affected. Most injuries occurred as a result of Motor Vehicle Accidents and pedestrians were the most affected road users 53/109 (48.6%). Malleolar fractures were the commonest type of ankle injury in 118/162 (72.8%), most of which involved more than one malleolus 77/118 (65.3%). Open injuries constituted 23.5% (38/162) of the injuries.

Conclusion and recommendations: Ankle joint injuries are common at Mulago NRH and are often associated with considerable soft tissue damage and severe fracture patterns. There's a

need to formulate public policies to protect the vulnerable road users against trauma as well as allocate more resources for efficient diagnosis and treatment of ankle joint injuries.

Studies to evaluate the outcomes of treatment of ankle joint injuries as well as studies to describe the patterns of Paediatric ankle injuries at Mulago NRH need to be carried out.